

UNVEILING THE CONSTRAINING ATTRIBUTES OF LOCAL AGGREGATE QUANTILE REGRESSION IN DISSEMINATION MODELS

Chen Mathew

Institute of Computational Science, University of Amsterdam, Netherlands

Abstract:

This paper introduces a novel approach for parameter estimation within the context of diffusion models. While composite quantile regression (CQR) has been applied effectively in classical linear regression models and more recently in general non-parametric regression models, its application in diffusion models has been limited. This research bridges this gap by extending CQR to estimate regression coefficients in diffusion models.

The diffusion model is considered within the framework of a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, P)$, represented as:

$dX_t = \beta(t)b(X_t)dt + \sigma(X_t)dW_t$, where $\beta(t)$ represents a time-dependent drift function, W_t is the standard Brownian motion, and $b(\cdot)$ and $\sigma(\cdot)$ are known functions. Notably, Model (1.1) encompasses several well-known option pricing and interest rate term structure models, including Black and Scholes (1973), Vasicek (1977), Ho and Lee (1986), and Black, Derman, and Toy (1990), among others.

This study extends the applicability of CQR to diffusion models, offering a powerful tool for estimating regression coefficients in this context. It fills a significant research gap, providing a promising avenue for enhanced parameter estimation in the field of diffusion models.

Keywords: Composite quantile regression, parameter estimation, diffusion models, option pricing, interest rate term structure.

1. Introduction

Composite quantile regression (CQR) is proposed by Zou and Yuan (2008) for estimating regression coefficients in classical linear regression models. More recently, Kai et al. (2010) considers a general non-parametric regression models by using CQR method. However, to our knowledge, little literature has researched parameter estimation by CQR in diffusion models. This motivates us to consider estimating regression coefficients under the framework of diffusion models. In this paper, we consider the diffusion model on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, P)$

$$(1.1) \quad dX_t = \beta(t)b(X_t)dt + \sigma(X_t)dW_t,$$

$\beta(t)$ W_t is the standard Brownian motion. $b(\cdot)$ and $\sigma(\cdot)$ are known

where $\beta(t)$ is a time-dependent drift function and functions. Model (1.1) includes many famous option pricing models and interest rate term structure models, such as Black and Scholes (1973), Vasicek (1977), Ho and Lee (1986), Black, Derman and Toy (1990) and so on.

$\beta(t)$ We allow being smooth in time. The techniques that we employ here are based on local linear fitting (see Fan and Gijbels (1996)) for the time-dependent parameter. The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we propose the local linear composite quantile regression estimation for the drift parameter

and study its asymptotic properties. The asymptotic relative efficiency of the local estimation with respect to local least squares estimation is discussed in Section 3. The proof of result is given in Section 4.

2. Local estimation of the time-dependent parameter

$\{X_{ti}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \quad t^1 \leq t^2 \leq \dots \leq t^n$. Denote

Let the data be equally sampled at discrete time points,

$Y_{ti} \in X_{ti} \in W_{ti}$, and $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Due to the independent increment property of Brownian motion

W_{ti} are independent and normally distributed with mean zero and variance t^i . Thus, the discretized version of the model (1.1) can be expressed as

$$(2.1) \quad Y_{ti} = \mu(t_i) + b(X_{ti}) + Z_{ti},$$

$Z_{ti} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$. The first-order discretized

where are independent and normally distributed with mean zero and variance approximation error to the continuous-time model is extremely small according to the findings in Stanton (1997) and Fan and Zhang(2003), this simplifies the estimation procedure.

Suppose the drift parameter $\mu(t)$ to be twice continuously differentiable in t . We can take $\mu(t)$ to be local t^0 , we use the approximation linear fitting. That is, for a given time point

$$(2.2) \quad \mu(t) \approx \mu(t_0) + \mu'(t_0)(t - t_0)$$

for t in a small neighborhood of t^0 . Let h denote the size of the neighborhood and $K(\cdot)$ be a nonnegative weighted function. h and $K(\cdot)$ are the bandwidth parameter and kernel function, respectively. Denoting $\mu^0 = \mu(t^0)$ and

$\mu^1 = \mu'(t^0)$, (2.2) can be expressed as

$$(2.3) \quad \mu(t) \approx \mu^0 + \mu^1(t - t_0).$$

$\mu(t)$

Now we propose the local linear CQR estimation of the drift parameter $\mu(t)$. Let

$$k = \frac{[nr]}{n}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, q,$$

which are q check loss functions at q quantile positions: $q = 1$. Thus, $\mu(t)$

following the local CQR technique, can be estimated via minimizing the locally weighted CQR loss

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \{ \sum_{k=1}^q [b(X_{ti}) - \mu^0 - \mu^1(t_i - t_0)] K_h(t_i - t_0) \}$$

$t_i - t_0$ where h and μ^1 is a properly selected bandwidth. Denote the minimizer of the locally weighted

$$(\hat{\mu}^0, \hat{\mu}^1, \dots, \hat{\mu}^q)$$

CQR loss (2.4) by $\hat{\mu}(t)$. Then, we let

$$(2.5) \quad \hat{\mu}(t) = \hat{\mu}^0 + \hat{\mu}^1(t - t_0)$$

—

¹ $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, q\}$, $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$,

$q \leq 1$

We refer to $\hat{\eta}(t_0)$ as the local linear CQR estimation of $\eta(t_0)$, for a given time point t_0 . To obtain the estimated function $\hat{\eta}(\cdot)$, we usually evaluate the estimations at hundreds of grid points. In order to discuss the asymptotic properties of the estimation, we introduce the following assumptions. Throughout this paper, M denotes a positive generic constant independent of all other variables.

$b(\cdot)$ and $\eta(\cdot)$

(A1) The functions $b(\cdot)$ and $\eta(\cdot)$ in model (1.1) are continuous.

$K(\cdot)$

(A2) The kernel function $K(\cdot)$ is a symmetric and Lipschitz continuous function with finite support $[-M, M]$.

$h=h(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(A3) The bandwidth h and

$F(\cdot)$ and $f(\cdot)$

Let $F(\cdot)$ and $f(\cdot)$ be the cumulative density function and probability density function of the error, $g(\cdot)$ denotes the density function of time, usually a uniform distribution on time interval $[a, b]$.

Define

$\eta_j = \int_{-j}^j \eta(u) K(u) du$, $\eta_j^2 = \int_{-j}^j \eta^2(u) K^2(u) du$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$

and

$$(2.6) \quad R(q) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-q}^q \eta^2(u) K^2(u) du$$

$$q \leq 1, \quad k' \leq 1, \quad f(ck) f(ck')$$

$ck \leq F^{-1}(k)$ and $ck' = F^{-1}(k')$ where

$\hat{\eta}(t_0)$

Theorem 2.1 Under assumptions (A1)-(A3), for a given time point t_0 , the local CQR estimation from (2.5) satisfies,

$$(2.7) \quad E[\hat{\eta}(t_0)] = \eta(t_0) + \frac{1}{2} \eta''(t_0) h^2 + o(h^2)$$

$$(2.8) \quad \text{Var}[\hat{\eta}(t_0)] = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\eta^2(t_0)}{nh} + R(q) + o(1) + nh g(t_0) b(X_{t_0}) nh$$

and, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(2.9) \quad \sqrt{nh} \{ \hat{\eta}(t_0) - \eta(t_0) - \frac{1}{2} \eta''(t_0) h^2 \} \xrightarrow{L} N(0, \frac{1}{2} \eta''(t_0) R(q))$$

$\xrightarrow{L} g(t_0) b(X_{t_0})$ means convergence in distribution.

Where

3. Asymptotic relative efficiency

We discuss the asymptotic relative efficiency (ARE) of the local linear CQR estimation with respect to the local linear least squares estimation (see Fan and Gijbels (1996)) by comparing their mean-squared errors (MSE). From

$\hat{\eta}(t_0)$. That is, theorem 2.1, we obtain the MSE

$$(3.1) \quad \text{MSE}[\hat{\eta}(t_0)] = \frac{1}{2} [\eta''(t_0)]^2 \frac{1}{nh} + \frac{1}{2} \eta''(t_0) R(q) o(h^4 n^{-1})$$

$$\sqrt{nh} g(t_0) b(X_{t_0}) \xrightarrow{L} nh$$

We obtain the optimal bandwidth via minimizing the MSE (3.1), denoted by

$$h_{opt}(t_0) = \left[\frac{2 \eta''(t_0) R(q)}{15 \eta''(t_0)^2} \right]^{1/5}$$

$\hat{\eta}(t_0)$, denoted by $\hat{\eta}^{LS}(t_0)$, is The MSE of the local linear least squares estimation of

$$(3.2) \quad \text{MSE}[\hat{\eta}^{LS}(t_0)] = \frac{1}{2} [\eta''(t_0)]^2 h^4 \frac{1}{nh} + \frac{1}{2} \eta''(t_0) R(q) o(h^4 n^{-1})$$

$$\sqrt{nh} g(t_0) b(X_{t_0}) \xrightarrow{L} nh$$

and the optimal bandwidth is

$$h_{opt}^{LS}(X) = \left[\frac{1}{15} \frac{1}{\eta''(t_0)} \right]^{1/5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &opt \\ &hLS(t_0) \approx [2 \quad 2] n \\ &g(t_0) b(X_{t_0}) [g''(t_0)]^2 \end{aligned}$$

By straightforward calculations, we have, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$MSE[\hat{\eta}_{LS}(t_0)] \approx [R'(q)]^{\frac{4}{5}}$$

$$MSE[\hat{\eta}(t_0)]$$

Thus, the ARE of the local linear CQR estimation with respect to the local linear least squares estimation is

$$(3.3) \quad ARE(\hat{\eta}(t_0), \hat{\eta}_{LS}(t_0)) \approx [R(q)]^{\frac{4}{5}}$$

(3.3) reveals that the ARE depends only on the error distribution. The ARE we obtained is equal to that in Kai el.(2010).

$ARE(\hat{\eta}(t_0), \hat{\eta}_{LS}(t_0))$ for some commonly seen error distributions. Table 1 in Kai Table 3.1 displays el.(2010) can be seen as ARE for more error distributions.

Table 3.1: Comparisons of $ARE(\hat{\eta}(t_0), \hat{\eta}_{LS}(t_0))$ for the values of q

Error	$q = 1$ $q = 5$	$q = 9$ $q = 19$	$q = 99$
$N(0,1)$	0.6968 0.9339	0.9659 0.9858	0.9980
Laplace	1.7411 1.2199	1.1548 1.0960	1.0296
$0.9N(0,1) + 0.1N(0,10^2)$	4.0505 4.9128	4.7069 3.5444	1.1379

From Table 3.1, we can see that the local linear CQR estimation is more efficient than the local linear least squares estimation when the error distribution is not standard normal distribution. When the error distribution is

$N(0,1)$ and $q = 1, 5, 9, 19, 99$, the $ARE(\hat{\eta}(t_0), \hat{\eta}_{LS}(t_0))$ is very close to 1, which demonstrates that the local linear

CQR estimation performs well when the error conforms to the standard normal distribution too.

4. Proof of result

$$S_{11} \quad S_{12}$$

$$S_{22}$$

In order to prove theorem 2.1, we first give some notations and lemmas. Let $S_{21} \quad S_{22}$, and

$$S_{11} \quad S_{12}$$

$$S_{22}$$

$S_{21} \quad S_{22}$, where S_{11} is a $q \times q$ diagonal matrix with diagonal elements $f(ck), k = 1, 2, \dots, q$,

q

element α , and

Furthermore, let $\sqrt{0k} = 0$, $\sqrt{1} = 0$, $u \geq nh(t)$, $c \geq v$, h

Lemma 4.1 Under assumption (A1)-(A3), minimizing (2.4) is equivalent to minimizing the following term:

$$\frac{q}{L_n(\vartheta)} \int_0^{\infty} u_k(t) v(t) B_{n,k}(t) dt + \frac{q}{k!} \int_0^{\infty} i^{*}(t) k(t) i(t) dt$$
$$\frac{b(X) \otimes (X \otimes W) \otimes (w, w) \otimes (w, w)}{1 \otimes T S_n \otimes (W_n^*)^T \otimes \mathcal{O}_p(1)} \quad T$$
 1^1 q with respect to \quad , where
$$\begin{aligned} & Bn, k \quad i \quad n1 \quad Kh \quad ti \quad t0 \quad i, 1 \quad I \quad ti \quad k \quad d \quad i, 1b \quad Xti \quad ti \quad zb \quad Xtti \quad - \quad I \quad ti \\ & \quad k \quad di, 1b \quad Xti \quad ti \quad dz \quad Sn \quad SSnn, 1121 \quad SSnn, 1222 \quad , \\ & 0 \quad Z \quad c \end{aligned}$$

Let $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)^T$ be a random vector with joint density function $f(x)$, and let $Y = (Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m)^T$ be another random vector with joint density function $g(y)$. Suppose that X and Y are independent. Then the joint density function of the vector $Z = (X^T, Y^T)^T$ is given by

$$f_Z(z) = f_X(x) g_Y(y) \quad \text{for } z = (x^T, y^T)^T.$$

$$f_Z(z) = f_X(x) g_Y(y) \quad \text{for } z = (x^T, y^T)^T.$$

$$f_Z(z) = f_X(x) g_Y(y) \quad \text{for } z = (x^T, y^T)^T.$$

$$f_Z(z) = f_X(x) g_Y(y) \quad \text{for } z = (x^T, y^T)^T.$$

$$f_Z(z) = f_X(x) g_Y(y) \quad \text{for } z = (x^T, y^T)^T.$$

$$f_Z(z) = f_X(x) g_Y(y) \quad \text{for } z = (x^T, y^T)^T.$$

The proof of lemma 4.1 is similar to lemma 2 and lemma 3 in Kai el.(2010).

Proof of theorem 2.1

Using the results of Parzen(1962), we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n g(t_j) \rightarrow \int g(t) f(t) dt$$

\xrightarrow{P} means convergence in probability. Thus, where

$$\begin{aligned} g(t_0) b(t_0) &= g(t_0) b(t_0) S_{11} \\ S_n &\xrightarrow{P} S \\ g(t_0) b(t_0) &= g(t_0) b(t_0) S_{21} \\ S_{12} &= S_{22} \end{aligned}$$

According to lemma 4.1, we have

$$L_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n g(t_j) b(t_j) S_{11} + o_p(1)$$

$L_n(t)$ converges in probability to the convex function Since the convex function

$$L(t) = \int g(t) b(t) S_{11} dt$$

$$L(t)$$

$$L(t) = \int g(t) b(t) S_{11} dt$$

, according to the convexity lemma in Pollard(1991), for any compact set, the quadratic

$$L(t) = \int g(t) b(t) S_{11} dt$$

approximation to $\hat{g}_n(t)$ holds uniformly for $t \in [0, 1]$. Thus, we have

$$\hat{g}_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(t_0 + \frac{t - t_0}{h} (t_i - t_0)) + o_p(1/n)$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_{t_i}$$

Define $\tilde{g}_{i,k} = I_{[t_0, t_0 + h]}(t_i) \tilde{c}_{i,k}$ and $W_n = (w_{11}, w_{12}, \dots, w_{1q}, w_{2q}, \dots, w_{nq})^T$ with $w_{1k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{nh}} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{g}_{i,k} Kh(\frac{t_i - t_0}{h})$, $w_{2k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{nh}} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{g}_{i,k} Kh(\frac{t_i - t_0}{h})$, and $w_{nk} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{nh}} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{g}_{i,k} Kh(\frac{t_i - t_0}{h})$.

By using the central limit theorem and the Cramer-Wald theorem, we have

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{W_n}{\sqrt{L}} \xrightarrow{L} N(0, I) \quad \text{where } L = (q+1) \times (q+1) \text{ Var}(W_n).$$

Notice that $Cov(\tilde{g}_{i,k}, \tilde{g}_{i,k'}) = \tilde{c}_{i,k} \tilde{c}_{i,k'}$ and $Cov(\tilde{g}_{i,k}, \tilde{g}_{j,k'}) = 0$ if $i \neq j$. We have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{g}_{i,k}^2 (t_i - t_0)^j = \int_0^1 g(t_0 + \frac{t - t_0}{h})^j dF(t_0 + \frac{t - t_0}{h})$$

$$Var(W) = g(t_0). \quad W \xrightarrow{L} N(0, g(t_0))$$

Thus, $n^{1/2} \hat{g}_n(t_0) \xrightarrow{L} 0$. Combining the result (4.1), we have $n^{1/2} \hat{g}_n(t_0) \xrightarrow{L} 0$. Moreover, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{g}_{i,k} \tilde{g}_{i,k'} = \int_0^1 \tilde{g}_{i,k} \tilde{g}_{i,k'} dF(t_0 + \frac{t - t_0}{h}) = \int_0^1 \tilde{g}_{i,k} \tilde{g}_{i,k'} dF(t_0 + \frac{t - t_0}{h})$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{g}_{i,k} \tilde{g}_{i,k'} = \int_0^1 \tilde{g}_{i,k} \tilde{g}_{i,k'} dF(t_0 + \frac{t - t_0}{h}) = \int_0^1 \tilde{g}_{i,k} \tilde{g}_{i,k'} dF(t_0 + \frac{t - t_0}{h})$$

And

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{g}_{i,k} \tilde{g}_{i,k'} = \int_0^1 \tilde{g}_{i,k} \tilde{g}_{i,k'} dF(t_0 + \frac{t - t_0}{h}) = \int_0^1 \tilde{g}_{i,k} \tilde{g}_{i,k'} dF(t_0 + \frac{t - t_0}{h})$$

$$nh \rightarrow \infty \quad h \rightarrow 0$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{K_h(t_i - t_0)} \max_k [F(c_k - t_i) - F(c_k)] \rightarrow 0 \text{ in probability. } nh \rightarrow \infty, h \rightarrow 0$$

$$Var(w^{n*} | w^n) \rightarrow 0$$

Therefore, (1). Using Slutsky's theorem yields $w_n \rightarrow_d N(0, g(t_0))$.

Thus,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n S E(W_n) \rightarrow_d N(0, \frac{1}{2} S^2 S) g(t_0) b(X_t) g(t_0) b(X_t)$$

$$0$$

So the asymptotic bias of $\hat{\eta}(t_0)$ is:

$$bias(\hat{\eta}(t_0)) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{K_h(t_i - t_0)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{K_h(t_i - t_0)} \right) E(W_1^{*n}) g(t_0) b(X_{t_0})$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{K_h(t_i - t_0)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{K_h(t_i - t_0)} \right) E(W_1^{*n}) g(t_0) b(X_{t_0}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ where}$$

$$K_i = K_h(t_i - t_0), E \left(\frac{1}{K_h(t_i - t_0)} \right) = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T \text{ and } W_1^{*n} = (w_{11}^*, w_{12}^*, \dots, w_{1q}^*)^T.$$

$$q \times q \text{ matrix } C = (c_{ij})_{i,j=1}^q, \text{ and}$$

Note that c_{ij} is symmetric, thus $c_{ij} = c_{ji}$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{K_h(t_i - t_0)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{K_h(t_i - t_0)} \right) E(W_1^{*n}) g(t_0) b(X_{t_0}) \rightarrow 0$$

$i \quad i$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}(X_{t_0}) - \frac{r b_i(X_{t_i})}{(1 \otimes_{OP}(1))}. \quad \text{Since} \\ & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(t_0) b(X_{t_0}) i \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_{t_i}) \\ & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n r b(X) g(t) \mathbb{E}''(t) b(X) \\ & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_i \quad i \quad t_i \otimes 0 \quad 0 \quad t_0 \otimes 2 h^2 (1 \otimes_{OP}(1)). \quad \text{We have} \\ & \quad \quad \quad n h i \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_{t_i}) \quad 2 \otimes (X_{t_0}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \\ & \text{bias}(\hat{\mathbb{E}}(t_0)) \otimes \mathbb{E}''(t_0) \otimes 2 h^2 \otimes_{OP}(h^2). \quad \text{and} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}^2(X) \\ & \text{Var}[\hat{\mathbb{E}}(t_0)] \otimes 1 \otimes 2 \otimes t_0 \otimes 12 \text{ eq } T \otimes 1 (S \otimes 1 \otimes S \otimes 1) \otimes 11 \text{ eq } \otimes 1 \otimes_{OP}(1) \otimes n h g(t_0) \overline{b}(X_{t_0}) \otimes q \otimes n h \\ & \otimes 1 \otimes \frac{0}{2} \otimes v_0 \otimes 2 \otimes (X_{t_i}) \otimes R(q) \otimes_{OP}(1). \quad n h g(t_0) b(X_{t_0}) \otimes n h \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

References

- Black, F., Derman, E. and Toy, W.(1990). *A one-factor model of interest rate and its application to treasury bond options*. Finan. Analysts' J., **46**:33-39.
- Black, F. and Scholes, M. (1973). *The pricing of options and corporate liabilities*. J. Polit. Economy, **81**:637-654.
- Fan, J. and Gijbels, I.(1996). *Local polynomial modelling and its applications*. Chapman and Hall, London.
- Fan, J., Jiang, J., Zhang, C. and Zhou, Z.(2003). *Time-dependent diffusion models for term structure dynamics and the stock price volatility*. Statistica Sinica, **13**:965-992.

- Kai,B.,Li,R. and Zou, H.(2010).*Local composite quantile regression smoothing: an efficient and safe alternative to local polynomial regression*.J.R. Statist. Soc. B,**72**:49-69.
- Parzen, E.(1962).*On estimation of a probability density function and model*. Ann. Math. Statist., **33**:1065-1076.
- Pollard, D.(1991).*A symptotics for least absolute deviation regression estimations*}.Econometr.Theory,**7**:186-199.
- Stanton,R.(1997).*A nonparametric model of term structure dynamics and the market price of interest rate risk*. J. Finance, **52**:1973-2002.
- Vasicek,O.A.(1977).*An equilibrium characterization of the term structure*. J. Finan. Econom., **5**:177-188.
- Zou,H. and Yuan, M.(2008).*Composite quantile regression and the oracle model selection theory*.Ann. Statist.,**36**:1108-1126. T.A.Louis, *Finding observed information using the EML gorithm*, J.Royal Stat.Soc, 1982, B44: 226-233.