

EVALUATING THE INFLUENCE OF AGROCHEMICALS AND LEACHATES ON GROUNDWATER QUALITY AND PUBLIC HEALTH IN NIGER STATE

¹Suleiman, M.T, ²Busari, A.O, and ³J. A. TANKO

¹Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria.

²Department of Civil Engineering, Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Nigeria.

³Department of Civil Engineering, University of Maiduguri, Maiduguri, Nigeria.

Abstract:

This study aimed to assess the impact of agrochemicals and leachates on groundwater quality and public health in Niger State and its environs. Water samples were collected from wells in four different areas, namely Minna, Suleja, Bida, and Lapai, between February and November 2019. Thirteen parameters were analyzed to determine the chemical constituents of the water and the level of pollution in the project area. The results indicated that the groundwater quality was within the Nigerian Standard and WHO standard, except for electrical conductivity in one of the areas. However, the study identified that good agricultural practice, proper timing, and application of exact quantities of agrochemicals, and prevention of spillages during applications must be followed to maintain groundwater quality and public health.

The study found that contamination of agrochemicals and leachates in groundwater was insignificant, and there was no strong connection between their presence and public health-related issues. The study recommended the continued practice of good agricultural practices and the prevention of spillages during applications.

This study provides insight into the effects of agrochemicals and leachates presence in groundwater on public health and the importance of appropriate farming practices. The results highlight the significance of monitoring and maintaining groundwater quality and how human activities can alter the composition of groundwater.

Keywords: Agrochemicals, Leachates, Groundwater Quality, Public Health, Niger State.

I. INTRODUCTION

Groundwater quality may be defined as the state of being free from physical, chemical, and biological pollutants. Temperature, turbidity, color, taste, and odor make up the list of physical water quality parameters. Since most ground water is colorless, odorless, and without specific taste, we are typically most concerned with its chemical and biological qualities. Although spring water or ground water products are often referred to “pure” its quality is different from that of pure water Musa, (2013). Naturally, ground water contains mineral ions. These ions are slowly dissolved from soil particles, sediments, and rocks as the water travels along mineral surfaces in the pores or fractures of the unsaturated zone and the aquifer Nagida, (2015). These are referred to as dissolved solids. Some dissolved solids may have originated in

precipitation water or river water that recharges the aquifer. Dissolved solids in any water may be divided into three groups: major constituents, minor constituents, and trace elements.

The total mass of dissolved constituents is referred to as the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS). In water, all the dissolved solids are either positively charged ions (cations) or negatively charged ions (anions). The total negative charged anions are always expected to be equals the total positively charged cations. A higher TDS means that there are more cations and anions in the water

Mande, (2016). With more ions in the water, the water's electrical conductivity (EC) is also high. By measuring the water's electrical conductivity, we can indirectly determine its TDS concentration. At a high TDS concentration, water becomes saline Ayoda, (2011). Water with a TDS above 500 mg/l is not recommended for use as drinking water (EPA secondary drinking water guidelines).

Water with a TDS above 1,500 to 2,600 mg/l (EC greater than 2.25 to 4mmho/cm) is generally considered problematic for irrigation use on crops with low or medium salt tolerance. Except for natural organic matter originating from top soils, all of these naturally occurring dissolved solids are in organic constituents: minerals, nutrients, and trace elements, including trace metals. In most cases, trace elements occur in such low concentrations that they are not a threat to human health.

In fact many of the trace elements are considered essential for the human metabolism. High concentrations of trace metals can also be found in ground water near contaminated sources, however it would cause, posing serious health threats. Some trace constituents associated with industrial pollution, such as arsenic and chromium, may also occur in completely pristine ground water at concentrations that are high enough to make that water unsuitable for drinking. Microbial pollutants are also a natural constituent of ground water. Just as microbes are ubiquitous in the environment around us, they are very common in the subsurface, including ground water. Hydro geologists increasingly rely on these, for instance, for sub surface bioremediation of contaminated ground water.

Human activities can alter the natural composition of groundwater through the disposal or dissemination of chemicals and microbial matter at the land surface and into soils, or through injection of wastes directly into ground water. Groundwater pollution (or groundwater contamination) may be defined as the presence of pollutant or undesirable change in groundwater quality resulting from human activities as suggested by Musa, (2013).

Agrochemicals refers to the broad range of pesticides including insecticides, fertilizers, hormones, herbicides, fungicides, and other growth chemicals and concentrates of raw animal manure (cow dung and poultry droppings). Globally, agro-chemicals (agrichemicals) are used for improving soil fertility and crop productivity. These methods of farming have been used in agriculture especially cropping system.

II. The Study Area

Niger State is a state in Central Nigeria and the largest state in the country fig 2. The state capital is Minna, and other major cities are Bida, Kontagora, and Suleja. It was formed in 1976 when the then North-Western State was bifurcated into Niger State and Sokoto State Yaradua, (2004). The Nupe, Gbagyi, Kamuku, Kambari, Dukawa, Hausa and Koro form the majority of the numerous indigenous tribes of Niger State.

Niger State lies between latitudes $8^{\circ}15' - 11^{\circ}15'N$ and longitudes $4^{\circ}00' - 7^{\circ}15' E$. Musa, (2013). It's borderers in the North is Kaduna and Kebbi States and in the South by Kogi State. It shares boundary in the west with Kwara and Benin Republic and in the east with the Federal Capital Territory and Kaduna state. It is divided into twenty-five local governments with a landmass of about 80,000.00 square kilometers and a population of 3,920,000 (2006, census).



Figure 1: Map of Niger States showing the three Senatorial zone (Yaradua, 2004)

III. Methodology

The desk study involved collection of relevant topographic maps, review of literature and assembling of fielder. The topographic map was enhanced to the appropriate scale of 1:25,000 and was gridded to allow for fair capture of the entire area. This is subsequently followed by reconnaissance study, which involves introduction to the local Chief and moving around the village with a local farmer to located probable area for sample collection. This was done in the month of May, 2019

Sample and Sampling Method Table 1: Site Specifications of Ground Water Samples

S/N	Sample Name	Sample Location	Habitat	Source
01	W1	Minna (m1m2m3m4)	Agricultural area	Well
02	W2	Suleja (s1s2s3s4)	Agricultural area	Well
03	W3	Lapai (l1l2l3l4)	Agricultural area	Well
04	W4	Bida (b1b2b3b4)	Agricultural area	Well

The physicochemical parameters of ground water of four study area namely Minna, suleja, Bida and Lapai. The ground water was collected from the wells at four different point each located in these study Area during the months of February 2019 to November 2019. The depth of the bore wells ranged from 10-12m

in all these stations. The sampling locations source and corresponding habitats as well as the source of water are shown in table 4.1.

IV. Results and Discussion

Table 1 shows the public health impairment associated with agrochemical use in the study area. Diarrhea was indicated by 2%, Cough 2%, Cold 4%, Chest pain recorded 2% while Skin Diseases 1%. In W1 study area. In addition, 2%, 1%, 2.5%, 5.5%, 1.5%, 4.5% of respondents in W2 locations indicated that they experienced Diarrhea, Cough, Cold, Chest pain, Skin Disease respectively. However, 3.5%, 1.5%, 1.5%, 2%, 0.5% 2% was recorded for Diarrhea, Cough, Cold, Chest pain, Skin Disease respectively in W3 study area. Furthermore W3 recorded 0.0% for diarrhea, 1% for cough, 5% for cold, 5% for chest pain, 5% for skin disease. This is an indication that there is little connection between the use of agrochemical and health implication in the study area. This study corroborates the findings of Truong et al. (1999), Damalas et al. (2011) and Ye et al. (2016) who reported cough, skin diseases and chest pain as some of the impairments associated with the use of agrochemical. In the overall study large number of respondents has no related health problem in the study area.

This research examined the effect of the presence of Agro chemicals and leachates in ground water on public Health in order to compare the concentration of the examined variable with the WHO as well as Nigerian standard of quality (Nig std). Thirteen (13) parameters were examined in relation to sixteen water samples collected. electrical conductivity (EC), W3 was lower than the 1000 S/cm recommended by the WHO while W1, W2 and W4 were found to be higher than the world health organization standard. Concentration of heavy metals and chemical parameters were not more available in groundwater. Results also indicated that groundwater within the range of the study area had less concentration of heavy metals.

Conclusion

After evaluating the various researches relating to the effect of the presence of Agro chemicals and leachates in ground water on public Health by various authors, the following conclusions were drawn:

- i. Groundwater is a universally valuable renewable resource for human life and economic development. Growth and development on the earth surface has rendered surface water of certain areas of the world useless despite its availability in large quantity.
- ii. The study observes limited migration of agrochemicals into leachate from the base of the irrigation site to be the point source of groundwater. This provide groundwater functions for various purposes (such as domestic, industrial and agriculture). Implication of the groundwater pollution which was found to be insignificant can not be attributed to different public health related problems.
- iii. Analysis of water samples collected from various locations revealed that many of the parameters use as measurement comply with WHO standards and Nigerian Standard. Groundwater in some of the study area may not requires precautionary measures before drinking. Concentrations of many variables were not detected in large quantity.
- iv. Concentration of the examined parameters was analyzed, discussed and explained with relevant statistical tools. Electrical Conductivity (EC) has the highest degree of concentration, that is, concentration

of EC in water directly influenced some parameters. Of more important is their reduction in relation to time.

v. However, not all public health related impairment could be related to contamination of ground water in the study area owing to the fact that the degree of the contamination by agrochemicals and leaches cannot be link to this ailment.

References

Abubakar, H. (2008). Groundwater contamination with NO₃-N in wheat-corn cropping system in the north China plain. *Pedosphere journal*, 17(6), 721-731.

Adegbola, M. (2011). New approaches to assessing the risk of groundwater contamination by pesticides. *Journal of geological society, London*, 157, 877-884.

Adonadaga, G. (2011). . Aquifer vulnerability to pesticide pollution, combining soil, L& use & aquifer properties with molecular descriptors. *Journal of Hydrology*. 293, 191-204

Ajibade, O. (2008). Groundwater Vulnerability, interaction of chemical & catchment properties. *Journal of science of total environment*, 299, 131-143

Akujieze, Y. (2015). Vulnerability of groundwater to pesticides contamination estimated directly from observations of presence or absence in wells. *Journal of Hydrology*, 303, 92-107

Alavanja, I. (2004). Bayesian discrimination with uncertain covariates for pesticides contamination in Barnett V (ed). Statistics for the environment. Pollution assessment & control. *wiley chi Chester*, 4, 337-353

Albert, M. (2009). Use of geographical information system for assessing groundwater potential by pesticides in central Thailand. *Journal of environment international*, 29, 87-93

Alemawet, C. (2004). Pesticides in shallow groundwater of Bahawalnagar, Muzafargarh, D.G Khan & Rajanpur districts of Punjab, Pakistan. *Journal of environment international*, 30, 471-479

Alex, G. (2007). Occurrence of Nitrate in Groundwater: A review. *Journal of environmental quality*, 22, 392-402.

Asogwa N. & Dongo, C. (2009). Effects of agrochemical use on the drinking water quality of

Agogo, a tomato growing town in Ashanti, Ghana. *Journal of Environmental contamination & toxicology*, 86, 71-77

- Auwal U. & Awoyale, L. (2008). Effects of lowering & Phosphorus surpluses in Agriculture on the Quality of groundwater in the Netherl&s. *Journal of Hydrology*, 304, 289-301
- Ay&e, (2017). Assessment of groundwater vulnerability in Engl& & Wales in Robin N.S (ed). Groundwater pollution, aquifer recharge & vulnerability. *Special publication: London Geological society*, 130, 191-198
- Ayoda, H. (2011). Assessment of groundwater quality in shallow wells within the southern districts of Malawi. *Journal of physics & chemistry of the earth*, 33, 812-823.
- Baba, J. (2018). Assessing the well water pollution problem by nitrates in the small scale farming systems on the Niayes regoin, Senegal. *Journal of Agricultural water Management*, 96, 13601368.
- Beard, B. (2011). Effect of nutrient loss from agriculture on ground & surface water quality. the position of science in developing indicators for regulation. *Journal of environmental science & policy*, 7, 15-23
- Besien, Z. (2005). Occurrence of Nitrate in Groundwater: A review. *Journal of environ mental quality*, 22, 392-402
- Bottoni J. & Funari, G. (2012). Quality of groundwater from shallow wells of selected villages in Blantyre District, Malawi. *Physics & chemistry of the earth*, 33, 807 811
- Burkatet, L. (2001). Principles of managing Nitrogen leaching. *Journal of soil & water conservation*, 57, 485-498
- Chemtrust, Y. (2010). Biological Monitoring of pesticides exposure: A review. *journal of toxicology*, 143(1-2), 11-23
- Clarke, H. (2017). *Agricultural Nonpoint Source Pollution Model: A Watershed Analysis Tool*. USDA-ARS, Morris, MN.
- Dave, H. (2012) Leachate Characterization and Assessment of Groundwater Pollution near Municipal Solid Waste Landfill Site. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 118: 435 – 456
- Devon, K. (2016). *Pesticide reduction programmes in Denmark, the Netherl&s, & Sweden*. A WWF International Research Report, World Wide Fund for Nature International, Switzerl&. (including “The pesticide reduction programme in Denmark: Update”, n.d.)
- Delinet, B. (2000). *Marine pollution & pesticide reduction policies*. World Wide Fund for Nature, P&a House, Godalming, Surrey, UK